Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/601,529	IZUMI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Matthew J. Kohner	3653
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>		
(1) Matthew J. Kohner.	(3)	
(2) Scott Malpede.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 20 December 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: potential 112 rejection of claims 4 and 6 due to language "the moving member moves to a third position" This language had no antecedent basis in the previous claim and therefore it was unclear to what the claims were referring.		
Claims discussed: 4 and 6		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Examiner agreed to cancel claims 4 and 6		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
DONALD P. TWALSH: SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3500		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)